

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/663,252	0/663,252 09/16/2003		Joseph Jacques	CISCP837	2874	
26541	7590	01/31/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
RITTER, L		KAPLAN AE. SUITE D1	CHERVINSKY	CHERVINSKY, BORIS LEO		
SARATOGA, CA 95070				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2835		
			DATE MAILED, OLDING		.	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				(90V
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		10/663,252	JACQUES ET AL.	
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit	ر.٠٠
		Boris L. Chervinsky	2835	
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	rrespondence address	
THE - Extended - If th - If No - Fail - Any	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 or SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl o period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute or reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				,£.
1)[汉]	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 D	ecember 2004		•
·		action is non-final.		
3)	· 		secution as to the merits is	
,	closed in accordance with the practice under E	·		
5	·		·	
· _	tion of Claims		•	<i>i</i> *
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1 and 3-22 is/are pending in the appli			
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.		•
·	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
·	Claim(s) 1 and 3-22 is/are rejected.			
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	l		
- 8)∟	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.		. •
Applicat	tion Papers			
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.		
10)⊠	The drawing(s) filed on 17 December 2004 is/a	re: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ object	ed to by the Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	tion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d)	
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.	٠.
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
_	•	priority under 25 U.S.C. \$ 110(a)	(d) or (f)	•
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	priority under 35 O.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (i).	:
a,	1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received		
	2. Certified copies of the priority document		on No	٠.
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	* *		. 1
	application from the International Bureau	•	a in the Haderian Otago	
* ;	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	d.	
		•		
Attachmer	nt(s)			٠. ٠
	čĕ of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary		N. 1.
	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ite atent Application (PTO-152)	%.1.
. —	er No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	and the processor of the total	. :
				:

Application/Control Number: 10/663,252

Art Unit: 2835

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1, 3, 5-10, 11, 12, 14-19, 20-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lee et al. in view of Chrysler et al.

Lee discloses an electronics assembly, comprising: a chassis defining an internal cavity for receiving a plurality of electronic cards 40, 79, 110, 150; an element 85, 134, 146, 166 within the chassis is parallel to the electronic cards and defining first and second flow channels; a first air mover 70, 72 configured to cause air to flow through the first flow channel; and a second air mover 92, 94 configured to cause air flowing in the first flow channel to flow through the second flow channel; the first and second air movers are fans or blowers; the first and second air movers are in a fan tray; the first air mover 70 is in a fan tray with one or more additional air movers 72; the second air mover 92 is in a fan tray with one or more additional air movers 94; the air flowing in the first channel flows in direction opposite the air flowing in the second flow channel; an intake opening 74 for air to flow through to the first flow channel and an exhaust opening 102 for air to flow through from the second flow channel.

Lee discloses the claimed invention except the divider being an electronic card.

Chrysler discloses the chassis having the electronic card 50 defining the first and the

Application/Control Number: 10/663,252

Art Unit: 2835

second air flow channels. It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to use the electronic card as an element defining two air flow channels as disclosed by Chrysler in the structure disclosed by Lee for efficient space utilization. The method steps of claims 21 and 22 are necessitated by the device structure as disclosed by Lee et al. in view of Chrysler et al.

3. Claims 4 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lee et al. in view of Chrysler et al. and further in view of Katooka et al.

Lee discloses the claimed invention except the flow guide. Katooka discloses the electronic assembly having the flow guide 19 to assist airflow from the first channel to the second channel. It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to use a flow guide as disclosed by Katooka et al. in the structure disclosed by Lee et al. to improve air flow from the first to the second channel.

Conclusion

4. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

Art Unit: 2835

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Boris L. Chervinsky whose telephone number is 571-272-2039. The examiner can normally be reached on 8-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lynn D. Feild can be reached on 571-272-2800 ext. 35. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

PRIMARY EXAMI

Maris 1. Cluri